

Zevachim – Simanim פרק א – כל הזבחים

דף ה – Daf 5

1. Reish Lakish's challenge: אם אין מרצין למה באין

2. An אשם is also "brought" after the owner's death (מותר אשם)

Rebbe Elazar had demonstrated that an עולה can be brought, after the owner's death, without being מרצה, but Reish Lakish responded that there is no such parallel for אשם, which cannot be brought after the owner's death. Amoraim ask that Rebbe Elazar should have replied that an של is also brought after the owner's death: A הלבה למשה מסיני is left to graze until it develops a מום, after which it is redeemed, and the money is used for an אשם. Rav Sheishess answered that such an שש is only "brought" עולה - for its surplus (i.e., its redemption money is used for a korban, but the שש itself is not brought). For אשם, too, there is a case where its redemption money is used for a korban after its owner's death (where the owner originally designated two חטאות, and one was sacrificed, and then he died). Still, a חטאת is disqualified when shechted ושלא לשמה יום was specifically disqualified with the word "שלא לשמה '' – it is (teaching it is only valid as a חטאת שלא לשמה). Thus, Rebbe Elazar could have answered Reish Lakish's challenge.

3. Do יורשים acquire a korban when the owner dies and receive kaparah with it?

Reish Lakish had agreed to Rebbe Elazar's proof that an עולה can be brought without providing atonement, from the fact that if a woman gave birth and died, her יורשים bring her יורשים. Amoraim wondered why Reish Lakish did not reply that the יורשים could achieve atonement for themselves with the אם!? Rav Ada bar Masnah responded: אם could achieve atonement for themselves with the יורשים - if she gave birth, have her sons given birth?! How can they receive atonement from her korban? To this, Rav Assi countered, who is to say that if the יולדת had several unatoned transgressions of איל עשה, that her שולה would not atone for them? Since it could, let it now atone for the sons' עשה transgressions!? The Gemara objects: עשה - is this to say that [the korban] is acquired by [the יורשים , that its sacrifice should atone for them?! But Rebbe Yochanan said that if someone left a מנחה for his two sons, it is sacrificed, and not disqualified as a חול partners, which proves they do not acquire it!? Although Rebbe Yochanan said that יורשים cannot effect מנחה with it, the Gemara explains that this is not because they acquire it (and יורשים cannot make יורשים cannot make

Siman - Hay

The Rabbi lying on a haystack who was wondering "If a korban isn't מרצה then why is it brought?", while an מרצה then why is it brought?", while an ששם then why is it brought?", while an whose owner died stood nearby chewing on some hay until it got a מום and would be sold and the money used for an עולה was startled by two boys having a hay fight as they debated if the עולה belonging to their deceased mother was inherited by them or not.



The Rabbi lying on a haystack who was wondering "If a korban isn't מרצה then why is it brought?", while an אשם whose owner died stood nearby chewing on some hay until it got a מום and would be sold and the money used for an עולה, was startled by two boys having a hay fight as they debated if the עולה belonging to their deceased mother was inherited by them or not.

things to remember

- 1. Reish Lakish's challenge: אם אין מרצין למה באין
- 2. An אשם is also "brought" after the owner's death (מותר)
- 3. Do יורשים acquire a korban when the owner dies and receive kaparah with it?

